1	DAVID W. DRATMAN		
2	Attorney at Law California State Bar No. 78764		
3	1007 7th Street, Suite 305 Sacramento, CA 95814		
4	Telephone: (916) 443-2000 Facsimile: (916) 443-0989		
5	EAN VIZZI, Esq. (SB No.209444) PIER 5 LAW OFFICES		
6	506 Broadway San Francisco, CA 94133 Tel: 415/986-5591 Fax: 415/421-1331		
7			
8	Attorneys for Defendant DAREN GLOSSER		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CR. S-05-309 GEB	
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
16	VS.	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING	
17	DAREN GLOSSER, et al.,	TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between the United States of America, through its attorney		
22	of record, Samuel Wong, Assistant U.S. Attorney; Daren Glosser, through his attorneys, David		
23	W. Dratman and Ean Vizzi; and Shannon Selle, through her attorney, Randolph E. Daar, that the		
24	status conference scheduled for March 17, 2006, shall be continued to March 31, 2006 at 9:00 a.m		
25	This case involves the service of at least nine separate search warrants in two federa		
26	judicial districts. The parties stipulate and agree that this matter continues to be complex within the		
27	meaning of the Speedy Trial Act due to the volume of discovery (over 3,200 pages, at least 8 CDs		
28	of photographs 3 videotapes, hundreds of seized	exhibits), complexity of factual and legal issues.	

1	and the need for defense counsel to have additional time to conduct the defense investigation and		
2	prepare.		
3	The parties stipulate and agree that time, from March 17, 2006 through and including March		
4	31, 2006, shall be excluded from computation of time within which the trial of this case must be		
5	commenced under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to Local Codes T2 (case unusual and complex)		
6	and T4 (time for defense counsel to prepare).		
7			
8	Dated: March 16, 2006 /s/ David W. Dratman DAVID W. DRATMAN		
9	EAN VIZZI Attorney for Defendant		
10	DAREN GLOSSER		
11			
12	Dated: March 16, 2006 /s/ Randolph E. Daar* RANDOLPH E. DAAR		
13	Attorney for Defendant SHANNON SELLE		
14	*Signed with permission		
15			
16	Dated: March 16, 2006 McGREGOR W. SCOTT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY		
17			
18	By: <u>/s/ Samuel Wong*</u> SAMUEL WONG		
19	Assistant U.S. Attorney *Signed with permission		
20			
21	<u>ORDER</u>		
22	The Court having received, read, and considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause		
23	appearing therefrom,		
24	The Court adopts the stipulation of the parties in its entirety as its order. Based on the		
25	stipulation of the parties, the Court finds that this case is unusual and complex within the meaning		
26	of Local Code T2. The Court further finds that the failure to grant a continuance in this case would		
27	deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the		
28	exercise of due diligence. The Court specifically finds that the ends of justice served by the granting		

of such continuance outweigh the interests of the public and that the time from March 17, 2006 to and including March 31, 2006, shall be excluded from computation of time within which the trial of this case must be commenced under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to Local Codes T2 (case unusual and complex) and T4 (time for defense counsel to prepare). It is so ordered. Dated: March 21, 2006 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr. GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. United States District Judge